



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,693	11/07/2003	Stanley Tabor	048331-1707	4141
7590 Wesley B. Ames FOLEY & LARDNER P.O. Box 80278 San Diego, CA 92138-0278		09/16/2009		
EXAMINER BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1637				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/16/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/813,693

**Applicant(s)**

TABOR ET AL.

**Examiner**

Angela M. Bertagna

**Art Unit**

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Angela M. Bertagna.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Warburg (Applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, 24, 124-159, 161-166, 168 and 169.

Identification of prior art discussed: Scherzinger, Sorge, Tabor, Bernstein.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the features of the invention and possible amendments to the claims that may distinguish the claimed methods from the prior art of record. We also discussed the possibility of a supplemental declaration containing evidence of unexpected results.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Angela M Bertagna/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1637